

# Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/836,544

Applicant(s)

SEED ET AL.

Examiner

DiBrino Marianne

Art Unit

1644

## All Participants:

(1) DiBrino Marianne.

(2) Ferber, Donna.

Status of Application: Allowed.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 12 December 2005 & 19 December 2005

Time: 5:10 P.M. & 1:00 P.M., respectively

## Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

## Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

## Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

## Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Marianne DiBrino, Ph.D.  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Ms. Ferber gave permission for the Examiner's Amendment attached hereto. Applicant's representative was advised that the typographical error at position 34 in the amino acid sequence (that was corrected by Applicant's amendment filed 12/16/05 and the sequence listing filed 10/11/05) is present in the first three listed parent applications..